Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/980,892	HERZEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arnold M Kinkead	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Arnold M Kinkead</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephen L. Grant(33,390)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 November 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2,7,10,11,15,16,27-29,30-33,35 and 36 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a vecord of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
\sim		
- Who led		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		